

Complementary Slackness

Lemma 2

Assume a linear program $P = \max\{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b; x \geq 0\}$ has solution x^* and its dual $D = \min\{b^T y \mid A^T y \geq c; y \geq 0\}$ has solution y^* .

1. If $x_j^* > 0$ then the j -th constraint in D is tight.
2. If the j -th constraint in D is not tight then $x_j^* = 0$.
3. If $y_i^* > 0$ then the i -th constraint in P is tight.
4. If the i -th constraint in P is not tight then $y_i^* = 0$.

If we say that a variable x_j^* (y_i^*) has slack if $x_j^* > 0$ ($y_i^* > 0$), (i.e., the corresponding variable restriction is not tight) and a constraint has slack if it is not tight, then the above says that for a primal-dual solution pair it is not possible that a constraint **and** its corresponding (dual) variable has slack.

Proof: Complementary Slackness

Analogous to the proof of weak duality we obtain

$$c^T x^* \leq y^{*T} A x^* \leq b^T y^*$$

Because of strong duality we then get

$$c^T x^* = y^{*T} A x^* = b^T y^*$$

This gives e.g.

$$\sum_j (y^T A - c^T)_j x_j^* = 0$$

From the constraint of the dual it follows that $y^T A \geq c^T$. Hence the left hand side is a sum over the product of non-negative numbers. Hence, if e.g. $(y^T A - c^T)_j > 0$ (the j -th constraint in the dual is not tight) then $x_j = 0$ (2.). The result for (1./3./4.) follows similarly.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

- ▶ Brewer: find mix of ale and beer that maximizes profits

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & 13a + 23b \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 5a + 15b \leq 480 \\ & 4a + 4b \leq 160 \\ & 35a + 20b \leq 1190 \\ & a, b \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Entrepreneur: buy resources from brewer at minimum cost
 C, H, M : unit price for corn, hops and malt.

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & 480C + 160H + 1190M \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 5C + 4H + 35M \geq 13 \\ & 15C + 4H + 20M \geq 23 \\ & C, H, M \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that brewer won't sell (at least not all) if e.g.

$5C + 4H + 35M < 13$ as then brewing ale would be advantageous.

Interpretation of Dual Variables

Marginal Price:

- ▶ How much money is the brewer willing to pay for additional amount of Corn, Hops, or Malt?
- ▶ We are interested in the marginal price, i.e., what happens if we increase the amount of Corn, Hops, and Malt by ϵ_C , ϵ_H , and ϵ_M , respectively.

The profit increases to $\max\{c^T x \mid Ax \leq b + \epsilon; x \geq 0\}$. Because of strong duality this is equal to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & (b^T + \epsilon^T)y \\ \text{s.t.} & A^T y \geq c \\ & y \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Interpretation of Dual Variables


If ϵ is “small” enough then the optimum dual solution y^* might not change. Therefore the profit increases by $\sum_i \epsilon_i y_i^*$.

Therefore we can interpret the dual variables as **marginal prices**.

Note that with this interpretation, complementary slackness becomes obvious.

- ▶ If the brewer has slack of some resource (e.g. corn) then he is not willing to pay anything for it (corresponding dual variable is zero).
- ▶ If the dual variable for some resource is non-zero, then an increase of this resource increases the profit of the brewer. Hence, it makes no sense to have left-overs of this resource. Therefore its slack must be zero.

Example



The change in profit when increasing hops by one unit is

$$= \underbrace{c_B^T A_B^{-1}}_{y^*} e_h.$$

Of course, the previous argument about the increase in the primal objective only holds for the non-degenerate case.

If the optimum basis is degenerate then increasing the supply of one resource may not allow the objective value to increase.